

THE ANNALS
AND
MAGAZINE OF NATURAL HISTORY,

INCLUDING
ZOOLOGY, BOTANY, AND GEOLOGY.

(BEING A CONTINUATION OF THE 'ANNALS' COMBINED WITH LOUDON AND
CHARLESWORTH'S 'MAGAZINE OF NATURAL HISTORY.')

CONDUCTED BY
P. J. SELBY, Esq., F.L.S., GEORGE JOHNSTON, M.D.,
CHARLES C. BABINGTON, Esq., M.A., F.R.S., F.L.S., F.G.S.,
J. H. BALFOUR, M.D., Prof. Bot. Edinburgh,
AND
RICHARD TAYLOR F.L.S., F.G.S.

VOL. VIII.—SECOND SERIES.

LONDON:

PRINTED AND PUBLISHED BY RICHARD TAYLOR.

SOLD BY LONGMAN, BROWN, GREEN, AND LONGMANS; S. HIGHLEY AND SON;
SIMPKIN, MARSHALL, AND CO.; PIPER, BROTHERS, AND CO.; W. WOOD,
TAVISTOCK STREET; BAILLIÈRE, REGENT STREET,
AND PARIS: LIZARS, AND MACLACHLAN AND
STEWART, EDINBURGH: CURRY, DUBLIN:
AND ASHER, BERLIN.

1851.

CONTENTS OF VOL. VIII.

[SECOND SERIES.]

NUMBER XLIII.

	Page
I. Report upon the Researches of Prof. MÜLLER into the Anatomy and Development of the <i>Echinoderms</i> . By THOMAS H. HUXLEY, F.R.S. (With a Plate.)	1
II. Report on MM. L. R. and C. TULASNE'S "Memoir on the History of the Hypogæous Fungi." By MM. JUSSIEU and AD. BRONGNIART	19
III. On the Anatomy of <i>Antiopa Spinolæ</i> , a Nudibranchiate Mollusk. By ALBANY HANCOCK, Esq. (With two Plates.)	25
IV. A Catalogue of British Spiders, including remarks on their Structure, Functions, Economy, and Systematic Arrangement. By JOHN BLACKWALL, F.L.S.	37
V. On the <i>Skeneadæ</i> . By WILLIAM CLARK, Esq.	44
VI. Descriptions of new species of Coleopterous Insects. By T. TATUM, Esq., M.E.S.	49
VII. Some Remarks on Mosses, with a proposed new Arrangement of the Genera. By WILLIAM MITTEN, A.L.S.	51
Proceedings of the Royal Institution; Zoological Society	59—73
Notices of one or two of the rarer Birds found in the South of Scotland, by John Alexander Smith, M.D.; <i>Achæus Cranchii</i> , by William Thompson, Esq.; <i>Gymnetrus Banksii</i> ; <i>Carcharias Vulpes</i> , by William Thompson, Esq.; On the <i>Chemnitzia</i> , by George Barlee, Esq.; Meteorological Observations and Table	73—80

NUMBER XLIV.

VIII. On the Hinge of the Fossil Genus <i>Platymya</i> , Agassiz; with the description of a new species. By J. LYCETT, Esq.....	81
---	----

	Page
IX. Palæontological Notes. By JOHN MORRIS, F.G.S. (With a Plate.)	85
X. Notice of some new Footsteps in the Bunter Sandstone of Dumfries-shire. By ROBERT HARKNESS, Esq.	90
XI. A Catalogue of British Spiders, including remarks on their Structure, Functions, Economy, and Systematic Arrangement. By JOHN BLACKWALL, F.L.S.	95
XII. Contributions to the Botany of South America. By JOHN MIERS, Esq., F.R.S., F.L.S.	103
XIII. Further Observations on the <i>Chemnitzia</i> . By WILLIAM CLARK, Esq.	108
XIV. On the Reproductive Organs of the Lichens and Fungi. By M. L. R. TULASNE	114
<i>New Books</i> :—Manual of British Botany, 3rd Edit., by Charles Cardale Babington, M.A., F.L.S., F.G.S. &c. — Hymenopterologische Studien, by Arnold Foerster	121—129
Proceedings of the Zoological Society; Linnæan Society; Royal Irish Academy	129—152

On Wolves Suckling Children, by the Honourable F. Egerton; *Carcharias Vulpes*, by William Thompson, Esq.; Preservation of Preparations for the Microscope; Notice of a Sea-Beach during the Silurian Epoch, by R. Harkness; On the Cell-membrane of Diatomaceous Shells, by J. W. Bailey; A Comparative Examination of the Objective Glasses of Microscopes from Mr. Ross of England, Mr. Spencer of America, and M. Nachez of Paris, by J. Lawrence Smith, M.D.; Note on *Antiope costata*, by J. G. Jeffreys; Meteorological Observations and Table

153—160

NUMBER XLV.

XV. Observations on the Affinities of the <i>Olacaceæ</i> . By JOHN MIERS, Esq., F.R.S., F.L.S.	161
XVI. Geographical Notices, and Characters of fourteen new species of <i>Cyclostoma</i> , from the East Indies. By W. H. BENSON, Esq.	184
XVII. Descriptive Characters of two species of the Genus <i>Pterocyclos</i> , discovered by Dr. BLAND. By W. H. BENSON, Esq. (With a Plate.)	195
XVIII. A Catalogue of <i>Rotifera</i> found in Britain; with descriptions of five new Genera and thirty-two new Species. By PHILIP HENRY GOSSE, A.L.S.	197

	Page
XIX. Remarks on <i>Dickieia</i> . By JOHN RALFS, Esq. (With a Plate.)	204
XX. A few Remarks upon the Crag of Suffolk. By W. B. CLARKE, M.D., of Ipswich	205

Proceedings of the Zoological Society; Linnæan Society; Botanical Society of Edinburgh	211—235
--	---------

<i>Holostomum cuticola</i> , by Robert Wigham (with a Plate); On the Occurrence of <i>Trigonellites</i> in the Upper Chalk at Norwich, by T. G. Bayfield; Localities of rare British Crustacea, by Alexander G. Melville; Note on <i>Pedicellaria</i> , by Arthur Adams; Addendum to Mr. Benson's Paper on <i>Cyclostoma</i> ; <i>Terebella medusa</i> , by C. Spence Bate; Meteorological Observations and Table	235—240
---	---------

NUMBER XLVI.

XXI. On the <i>Cidariidæ</i> of the Oolites, with a description of some new species of that family. By THOMAS WRIGHT, M.D. &c. (With three Plates.)	241
---	-----

XXII. Observations on the Connexion between the <i>Crinoideæ</i> and the <i>Echinodermata</i> generally. By THOMAS AUSTIN, F.G.S., Fort Major, &c.	280
---	-----

XXIII. Descriptions of two new species of Nudibranchiate Mollusca, one of them forming the type of a new genus. By JOSHUA ALDER and ALBANY HANCOCK. With the Anatomy of the Genus, by ALBANY HANCOCK. (With two Plates.)	290
--	-----

XXIV. On <i>Chantransia</i> , Desv. By JOHN RALFS, Esq.	302
--	-----

XXV. A List of all the Mosses and Hepaticæ hitherto observed in Sussex. By WILLIAM MITTEN, A.L.S.	305
--	-----

XXVI. On the Development of the Cirripedia. By C. SPENCE BATE. (With three Plates.)	324
---	-----

XXVII. A Catalogue of British Spiders, including remarks on their Structure, Functions, Economy, and Systematic Arrangement. By JOHN BLACKWALL, F.L.S.	332
---	-----

Proceedings of the Zoological Society; Botanical Society of Edinburgh	339—346
---	---------

<i>Orthogoriscus mola</i> , by Dr. John Alex. Smith; <i>Carcharias Vulpes</i> , by Dr. J. Harvey; On the Arrangement of Fossil Animal Remains in Collections, by J. E. Gray, Esq., F.R.S., V.P.Z.S. &c.; Early	
--	--

THE ANNALS
AND
MAGAZINE OF NATURAL HISTORY.

[SECOND SERIES.]

No. 44. AUGUST 1851.

VIII.—*On the Hinge of the Fossil Genus Platymya, Agassiz ; with the description of a new species.* By J. LYCETT, Esq.*

M. AGASSIZ proposed to constitute his genus *Platymya* with certain flattened and gaping bivalve shells whose figure differs sufficiently from that of other genera of fossil Myadæ, and he characterized with precision the external features of the group ; but as the hinge remained unknown to him, the genus could not be considered as established. Subsequently M. D'Orbigny, from a consideration of several other species which he described in the 'Paléontologie Française,' believing that he had discovered in certain of their moulds impressions of an internal spoon-shaped process, and likewise of the rib which abuts against it, concluded that some of the species at least were true Anatinas, and therefore designated them as such. On the other hand, M. Agassiz†, whilst admitting the full importance of the characters noticed by M. D'Orbigny, and the possibility that in consequence *Platymya* may be reduced to the rank of a subgenus only, states his impression that nevertheless it may be a good genus, and directs attention to an important distinction between the two forms, viz. that in the Anatinas the anterior region is the most produced, but in *Platymya* it is the posterior which is most prominent. M. Agassiz therefore refused to abandon his genus *Platymya*, and reunited the six Anatinas of M. D'Orbigny to his own as additional species of *Platymya*. *Platymya* is exemplified in the 'Études Critiques' by six species only ; the number of individuals in each species is stated to be but very few, and that the form altogether had not previously been noticed by palæontologists. All of the species pertain to the Cretaceous system of rocks with two exceptions, one belonging to the upper, and the

* Read to the Cotswold Naturalists' Club, June 24, 1851.

† Études Critiques sur les Mollusques fossiles, Myes, Introduction, p. xvi.
Ann. & Mag. N. Hist. Ser. 2. Vol. viii.

remaining one to the middle division of the Oolitic system. The present species has claims upon our notice beyond that of a new species merely, inasmuch as it is the first English recorded example of the genus,—the first which has been identified in the lower division of the Oolitic system; and lastly, it has the important and novel advantage of having its test preserved and the character of its hinge clearly exposed. Although six years have elapsed since the publication of the ‘*Etudes Critiques*,’ the state of uncertainty in which the hinges of several of the genera therein described were left by its distinguished author has not hitherto been removed; our own literature more especially is deficient in information relating to the extensive family of fossil *Myadæ*: these circumstances it is trusted will be deemed a sufficient excuse for presenting a brief description of the genus *Platymya* translated from the before-mentioned work of M. Agassiz.

“The *Platymyas* are near to the *Arcomyas* in their form and general physiognomy, but are distinguished by a general flatness of the valves, by the nearly median position of the umbones, which are very depressed, by the extremities being much developed and very large. The two extremities gape much, more especially the posterior one. The cardinal area is much less characterized than in the *Arcomyas*; the marginal keel which separates the area from the sides is not very distinct, and consequently is of little assistance in the determination of species. The ridges or folds of the sides are usually distinct, concentric and well marked upon the anterior side, but more indistinct and irregular upon the posterior. The lines of growth are not usually observed upon the exterior of the moulds, neither are they visible upon the internal moulds. Hinge unknown. The position of the umbones will always distinguish it from *Gresslya*, *Homomya* and *Myopsis*; *Mactromya* is usually more short and convex.”

It will be perceived from the above extract, that a comparison of our new shell with the several species of *Platymya* must depend upon the external form only, inasmuch as no direct knowledge of the hinge has heretofore been obtained, and the remarks of M. D’Orbigny are based solely upon impressions in the moulds. Whatever value however may be attributed to impressions in moulds must yield to a disclosure of the hinge itself, and in the present instance this direct evidence is combined with a shell whose external characters agree with those of *Platymya*, and cannot with propriety be referred to any other known genus.

In two instances we have succeeded in exposing the hinge in each valve, and our definition of *Platymya*, derived from these examples, will be as follows:—

Shell thin, nearly equivalve, transverse, compressed; umbones small, depressed, contiguous, submesial; cardinal area indistinct,


its superior border having in each valve a narrow elongated groove with an acute edge, as in *Mactromya*; both sides of the shell wide, more especially the posterior one, which is truncated; both extremities gape slightly, more especially the posterior extremity; ventral margin regular, curved moderately and elliptically. Hinge plate internally incrassated and lengthened posteriorly, having a single small obtuse cardinal tooth in the left valve and a corresponding oval pit in the right valve; lateral teeth none; muscular impressions unknown.

The hinge apparatus may be regarded as forming an exception to the usual characters of fossil *Myadæ*, which are for the most part edentulous; the present form however can only be considered as an aberrant modification of the same kind of hinge: the tooth is small; it is of an oval figure, its greater length being lateral; it projects but little, and the opposite corresponding pit consequently is but shallow. This kind of hinge, taken in connexion with the other characters of the shell, will be found to remove it from all other genera of the *Myadæ*, both recent and fossil: there is nothing resembling the projecting spoon-shaped process and accessory tricuspid osseous rib supporting an internal ligament, as in *Anatina*; on the contrary, there is every reason to believe that the ligament was external and supported upon the lengthened posterior grooves. The delicacy of the test and hardness of the matrix have foiled our attempts to expose the muscular impressions.

The tendency of these details then is to support the conclusion of M. Agassiz with regard to the generic value of *Platymya*, a conclusion at which he arrived from a consideration of certain external characters only; these however constitute a generic entireness upon which he relied with confidence even after a palæontologist of eminence had pronounced an adverse opinion, and he remained without the means of verifying his inductions by an examination of the hinge. The dental characters however of the several genera of fossil *Myadæ* would seem to be of much less relative importance than they acquire in certain other families of the Lamellibranchiate Mollusks. In the fossil *Myadæ* the teeth are for the most part absent altogether, the ligamental support being derived from a thickening internally of the posterior and superior border, forming a kind of lengthened posterior rib, and it is the only portion of the shell which is not thin and delicate. Without entering into details respecting the hinges of the several genera, it may suffice to mention that *Mactromya*, *Goniomya*, *Cercomya*, *Ceromya*, *Homomya*, *Myopsis* and *Arcomya* have all with certain modifications this description of hinge apparatus, which should be regarded as of coordinate rank only with other characters which are external and are connected with the general form and markings of the surface. *Platymya* has a

similar kind of posterior elongated rib terminating anteriorly in a tooth and opposite corresponding fossa so small as not to form any projection beneath the hinge plate; the internal moulds consequently would exhibit little of the structure of the hinge, and supply no sufficient data whereby its real character could be inferred. The narrow lengthened posterior groove in each valve resembles those in *Mactromya*, in which however an hiatus remains between the grooves which does not exist in *Platymya*. *Arcomya* is destitute of these grooves.

Example. *Platymya Rodborensis*.



Shell compressed, subequilateral, with the posterior side wide, truncated, gaping moderately, the anterior extremity being nearly closed. The valves are equal, or with no apparent difference in their convexity. An obtuse and rather indistinct keel passes obliquely from the umbo to the infero-posterior extremity; folds or ridges concentric and irregular, distinct only upon the two extremities of the shell, and passing over the keel bent nearly at a right angle. Young specimens have their lateral diameter comparatively greater, but like the adult shell the middle portion is nearly smooth. The general outline has some resemblance to *P. tenuis* (Etud. Crit. t. 10 a, fig. 5-6), but in that species the hinge-line is more nearly horizontal, and the posterior border of the shell has a much larger hiatus. Our shell has likewise less convexity, and its posterior aperture is much smaller than in *P. hiantula* (Etud. Crit. t. 10 a, fig. 7-13); to other species the resemblance is more remote.

In common with other species of the genus, the general form

has some resemblance to several of the shorter *Arcomyas*, and more especially to the figure of *A. ensis*, Etud. Crit. t. 9. fig. 4-6 (misprinted *A. brevis*), but the valves in that shell are less compressed and the umbones are more gibbose: our species however cannot be an *Arcomya*, for M. Agassiz has satisfied himself, from an examination of numerous moulds, that the hinge of that genus is without teeth; we have fortunately succeeded in clearing the hinge of the shell itself in more than one specimen of *Arcomya*, and are enabled to add our humble testimony to the same effect. There remains to be noticed a shell whose resemblance to our species is so considerable, that little doubt can exist that both belong to the same genus; this is the *Psammobia lævigata* of Phillips, figured at pl. 4. fig. 1. vol. i. of the 'Geology of Yorkshire.' The resemblance of the external form to *Psammobia* must be allowed, and the hinge characters, though distinct from the *Psammobiæ*, are more nearly allied to its subgenus *Psammotea*, which has no tooth in the right valve; but the figure of the tooth and pit in the recent shells will be found to be very different to our fossil; the *Psammobiæ* likewise have an elevated nymphal callosity supporting the ligament which is wanting in the fossil.

Though rare, our species was gregarious; several specimens occurred in near proximity. Height 13 lines, lateral diameter 17 lines.

Locality. Rodborough Hill near Stroud, where it occurs in the upper ragstone of the Inferior Oolite.

IX.—*Palæontological Notes.* By JOHN MORRIS, F.G.S.

[With a Plate.]

THE following notes relate to some new or little-known organisms of the chalk, and are chiefly contained in the collection of Mr. Wetherell of Highgate:—

THECIDEA, Defrance. *Thecidium*, Sow.

The genus *Thecidea*, established by Defrance for certain Terebratuliform shells with a peculiar apophysary system, is very rare in a recent state, one species only having been obtained from the Mediterranean. The fossil species are not numerous: Bronn enumerates eight species, one from the Jurassic and seven from the Cretaceous formations. In this country the genus has only been recently noticed: Mr. Moore of Ilminster has discovered four in the Lias, and two others have been found in the Inferior Oolite, all of which are described and figured by Mr. Davidson*; to these, another is now added from the Chalk.

* Palæontographical Society, Article Brachiopoda.